## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JANE DOE : CIVIL ACTION

:

v. : NO. 24-6900

.

CIGNA HEALTH AND LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY, CIGNA
CORPORATION, THE CIGNA GROUP

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of March 2025, upon consideration of the motion of plaintiff to proceed anonymously (DI 2), any response thereto, and following our videoconference held today with counsel, it is **ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion (DI 2) is **GRANTED**.<sup>1</sup>

## It is **FURTHER ORDERED**:

- 1. Plaintiff is permitted to file the Complaint so that the caption reflects the name of the Plaintiff as, "Jane Doe";
- 2. The parties shall file any and all pleadings and other documents with the Court using, "Jane Doe," for Plaintiff's name, and/or shall redact any pleadings and other documents filed of Plaintiff's name;
- 3. Plaintiff is permitted to redact Plaintiff's address from the Complaint, and any amendment thereto.

MURPHY, J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We conclude that plaintiff has shown a reasonable fear of severe harm, and that, in this case, plaintiff's "interest and fear" outweigh the "public's strong interest in an open litigation process." *See Doe v. Megless*, 654 F.3d 404, 408 (3d Cir. 2011). In reaching this conclusion, we considered the nine factors outlined by the Third Circuit in *Megless* and determined that the factors in favor of anonymity outweigh those disfavoring it. *See id.* at 409 (citing *Doe v. Provident Life and Acc. Ins. Co.*, 176 F.R.D. 464, 467 (E.D. Pa. 1997)).